
PASSF 1.4





ecology and environment, inc.

108 SOUTH WASHINGTON, SUITE 302, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104, TEL. 206-624-9537

International Specialists in the Environmental Sciences

PRELIMINARY SITE INSPECTION REPORT OF RESOURCE RECOVERY CORPORATION PASCO, WASHINGTON

TDD R10-8408-22

Report Prepared By: Ecology and Environment, Inc.
Project Leader: Christopher Nadler
Date: January 8, 1985

Submitted To: J.E. Osborn, Regional Project Officer Field Operations and Technical Support Branch U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region X

Region X Seattle, Washington



PRELIMINARY SITE INSPECTION REPORT

Resource Recovery Corporation TDD R10-8408-22

Site Name/Address

Resource Recovery Corporation Pasco Sanitary Landfill Kahlotus Road and Highway 12 Pasco, WA 99301

Investigation Participants

Peter Evers, Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E&E), (206) 624-9537 Rich Brooks, Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E&E), (206) 624-9537 Chris Nadler, Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E&E), (206) 624-9537 Mike Gallagher, Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE), Environmentalist II, (206) 459-5516

Principal Site Contacts

Larry Dietrich, Owner and Operator,
Pasco Sanitary Landfill, (509) 547-4802

John Zillich, Project Manager, J-U-B Engineers (JUB),
(509) 783-2144

Ron West, Operator, Resource Recovery Corp., (206) 767-0355

Date of Inspection

9/12/84 0800 hrs.

1.0 Introduction

Resource Recovery Corporation (RRC)/Pasco Sanitary Landfill (PSL) has been identified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region X and WDOE from preliminary assessment screening as requiring additional information to accurately profile the nature and extent of past waste disposal activity at the site. E&E has been requested by EPA under Technical Directive Document No. R10-8408-22 to conduct a site inspection and evaluate the facility's status within the Agency's Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Site Program. This report summarizes the results of E&E's preliminary site inspection and is divided into the following sections:

- o Site Location
- o Hydrogeology
- o Disposal Practices
- o Past Investigations
- o Observations

2.0 Site Location

The PSL is located 1.5 miles northeast of Pasco, Washington in the SW 1/4 of Section 5 and the NW 1/4 of Section 22, Township 09 north, Range 30 east, Willamette Meridian, Franklin County (Figure 2.1). The PSL has been operated as a landfill since 1956 (1). The facility has received primarily municipal wastes with the exception of a period from 1972 to 1980 when it was also operated as a regional hazardous waste site.

The site has been owned and operated by Larry Dietrich since January 1981. Records indicate that John Dietrich owned the site from 1955 to 1981. The site was leased to the Resource Recovery Corporation during the time period when it was operated as a regional hazardous waste site (1).


The average elevation of the site is 410 feet above mean sea level (MSL) with the land sloping approximately 1 to 3 percent to the west-southwest. The land in the general vicinity of the site is rural (population approximately 35 people within a 1 mile radius) intermixed with irrigated agricultural fields and range land.

3.0 Hydrogeology

The generalized description of the geologic units underlying PSL is presented in Table 3.1.

TABLE 3.1
DESCRIPTION OF GEOLOGIC UNITS (2,3,4)

Geologic Unit Sub-Unit	Depth (feet)	Description	Permeability (cm/sec)
Eolian Sand and Silt	surface	Light brown. Very vine sands and silts.	10-3-10-5
Touchet Formation	0-40	Light to medium brown. Very fine to medium grained sands. Occasionally slight to very silty.	10-3 <u>></u> 10-5
Pasco Gravels	40-60	Dark grey. Locally fine to coarse grained sands with occasional gravel.	>10-3
Ringhold Formation Ringhold Sands	60-100	Dary grey. Medium to coarse grain with gravel. Gravel increasing and getting courser with depth.	>10-3
Ringhold Gravels Ringhold Clays Yakima Basalt	100-110 >110-140 >140	Tan gravel with sand. Blue clay. Basalt	>10 ⁻³ 10 ⁻² -10 ⁻⁵



Groundwater beneath the site occurs in the Yakima Basalt sequence and the overlying sedimentary materials. The disposal site will have a potential impact only on the groundwater in the sedimentary materials (2). The depth to the water table aquifer is approximately 55 feet below the average land surface (approximately 355 feet MSL), thus the surface of the groundwater tops the Ringhold Sands and is in the Pasco Gravels (2). Groundwater movement is in a general southwesterly direction toward the Columbia River (Figure 3.1). The major use of groundwater in the area is crop irrigation. The site has a semi-arid climate with an overall negative water budget of approximately 32-inches per year (3). The two year 24-hour rainfall is 0.8-inches, with July being the driest month having less than 0.2-inches of rainfall (6).

4.0 Disposal Practices

The PSL site was operated as an open burning dump from 1956 to 1971 (1). The primary wastes accepted were municipal wastes which were dumped on the ground surface and periodicially burned. In 1971 the operation was changed from an open burning dump to a sanitary landfill; the burning activity stopped and the refuse was periodically covered with soil (1).

Resource Recovery Corporation leased a portion of the landfill in 1972 from the landowner John Dietrich and began operating a regional hazardous waste site. The site was managed by Larry Dietrich (John's son) as an employee of the corporation. The operator accepted and disposed of hazardous wastes in sub-sites from 1973 through 1981 under WDOE Permit #5301 issued March 21, 1973. The majority of hazardous wastes were accepted from 1972 to 1974; Table 4.1 summarizes the types, quantities and disposal locations (if known) for this period. The sanitary landfill operation also continued during the period of time Resource Recovery leased the site. In addition, in 1974 a sewage evaporation lagoon was constructed for the disposal of septic tank wastes (Figure 3.1).

Resource Recovery Corporation operated the site until January 1981, at which time the operation lease terminated and all interests RRC had in the operation reverted to the Dietrichs. Larry Dietrich has operated the site as a sanitary landfill since 1981.

5.0 Past Investigations

5.1 WDOE Investigation

In September 1973, the WDOE ordered an investigation of RRC operation at PSL. This was initiated because of the concerns of local farmers and the WDOE relating to the potential effects of the materials buried at the site on the local agricultural crops. The investigation included a site visit to ascertain current site conditions, waste types disposed of at the site and their potential impact on groundwater, as well as possible air contamination. No samples of the wastes or groundwater were collected or analyzed by the WDOE.




TABLE 4.1 WASTE QUANTITIES DISPOSED OF AT PSL BY RRC

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Description(7)		Lstimated	
Location(5)	(Size*/Lining)	Waste Type(2,8)	Q uantity (2,5,8)	Units
	4004 1004		E /. /.	4
Site A	100'x 100'	acids	544	drums
	bottom unlined	aromatic tars	160-248	drums
	top lined	carcinogenics (unspecified)	9	drums
		caustics	8,774	drums
		cedimum	11	drums
		metal finishing	244-304	drums
		oil sludge	433	drums
		paint	10,258-24,200	drums
		pesticides	425	drums
		pesticide containers (empty)	791-863	drums
Site 8	50'x50' bottom unlined top lined	2,4-D manufacturing	2,011-5,080	drums
Site C	75'x75'	acids	7,000	qallons
0100 0	bottom unlined	acid metal cleaning	2,301,560	pounds
	top lined	lime phenol	684,967	gallons
	cop lined	metal cleaning	185,162	galions
			17,000-35,724	gallons
		metal finishing	1,460,602-1,949,652	
		metal finishing	1,460,602-1,949,632	pounds
Site D	75'x75'	aromatic tar	499,270	pounds
	bottom unlined	cutting oil	76,350-84,300	qallons
	top lined	fertilizer manufacturing	228,288	pounds
	top IIIIed	oily sludge	6,000-66,340	qallons
		paint	72,475-497,418	pounds
		paint	66,516-95,711	gallons
		plywood resin	1,393,380-2,215,440	pounds
		solvents	12,648	gallons
Site E	unknown bottom and top lined	barium with mercury	10,500-11,582	tons
Unknown	unknown	acid sludges	1,000	gallons
UITKIIUWII	GIRIOWII	acid wash solution	312,350	pounds
	•	- -	176,000	
		benzoic acid and tar	•	pounds
		chemistry lab reagents	700, 901	drum
		chrome rinse water	700,901	pounds
		DCP tar	8,790	gallons
		etching solution	1,914	barrels
		lime sludge	80-160	drums
		MCPA bleed	104,318-327,000	gallons
		MCPA tar	2,965-3,037	drums
			939	drums
			2,813	barrels
			680	pails
		metal casing wastes	3,300-5,760	drums
		misc. lab chemicals	29	sm. containers
		NH ₄ + and NaOH	17 270	anlloss
		chemical solutions	17,238	gallons
		oily sludge	166,680	pounds
		other miscellaneous	435	drums
		pesticide containers	1,045	each
		resin manufacturing	392,553	ga1 lons
		solid caustic soda	44,550	pounds
		wood treatment/preservative	294,662	gallons
			238	drums

^{*!}he depths of the burial sites are unknown. All linings are 4 mil polyethylene and all sites are covered with soil.

The WDOE prepared a report which was published in December 1973. In their report, WDOE stated that the site was in an excellent location for ground disposal of industrial solid wastes, if the proper safe-guards are observed. Further, that the arid climate prevents the leaching of solid wastes disposed into the ground and is conducive to on-site concentration and desiccation of liquid wastes. They also concluded that since the water table is relatively shallow, it is not acceptable to dispose of liquid wastes directly to the ground in unlined pits or trenches. The state decided that the probability of air pollution at the site was considered to be low. Figure 5.1 explains the site structure during this WDOE investigation. The report concluded the following recommendations:

- o all materials received for disposal at the site shall be recorded as to the type, chemical composition and quantity;
- o abandoned disposal sites must be permanently monumented;
- o all trenches intended for the disposal of hazardous wastes should be lined.

5.2 J-U-B Engineers Reports

J-II-B Engineers have been contracted by the operator of the PSL as consultants to plan and implement the groundwater quality program at the PSL site. The engineering firm has completed 2 major reports on the PSL.

J-U-B Engineers first report (June 1981) responded to several issues which the WDOE raised in a letter to the PSL on 18 February 1981. The report included the following (9):

- o a discussion of the percolation of septic wastes and their impact on hazardous wastes which were disposed of on-site:
- o documentation procedures of septic wastes received at PSL:
- o direction and velocity of groundwater flow;
- o current groundwater monitoring program;
- o estimated the transmissivity and permeability of the water table aguifer at the site.

The authors concluded that:

- o the industrial waste disposal sites are not being saturated by lateral movement of waste waters from the sewage lagoons:
- o the groundwater flow direction and quality have been determined near the landfill site but an additional well is needed at the southern boundary to verify flow:
- o a groundwater monitoring program has been established to determine current and future impacts of site operations.

In July 1983, J-U-B Engineers published a second report on the PSL which was a summary of past quarterly groundwater sampling. This report included:

- o a summary of the construction of six groundwater monitoring wells completed in January 1982 (Figure 5.2);
- o tentatively identified the direction of groundwater flow;
- o summarized the analytical results of quarterly groundwater samples collected by J-U-B Engineers from January 1982 to March 1983:
- o compared the groundwater quality to health effect limits.


J-U-B Engineering concluded the following:

- o existing monitoring wells are located in such a manner that they will detect any leachate migration from the industrial and solid waste areas and the sewage evaporation lagoon;
- o the wells are constructed to obtain water from the upper 20 feet of the water table aquifer where contaminants from the landfill would be most readily observed;
- o sampling results for health-effect related parameters show concentration to be largely below detection limits and in all cases below the EPA allowable contaminant levels.

There are a number of discrepancies between the disposal sub-site descriptions in Figure 5.1 and 5.2. The exact size and location of each disposal sub-site is in question as is the identification numbering system. Table 5.1 is a cross reference of both parties numbering systems.

TABLE 5.1
CROSS REFERENCE OF J-U-B AND WDOE
DISPOSAL SUB-SITE NUMBERING SYSTEM (10)

J-U-B	WDOE	Description
A B C and D E F ₁ F ₂ NI	8 and 9 NI 7	Disposal of containerized wastes Disposal of containerized herbicide wastes Evaporation ponds Unlined trenches for disposal of chloralkali sludges Solid Waste fill area Solid Waste fill area Never used



FIOURR 5.1




FIGURE 5.2

PASCO SANITARY LANDFILL WASTE DISPOSAL AND WELL LOCATIONS(5)

5.3 Preliminary Assessments (PA) and Site Inspections (SI)

Table 5.2 summarizes the PA's and SI's which have been conducted to date.

TABLE 5.2 SUMMARY OF PA AND SI ACTIVITIES (11)

Activity	Date	Responsible Agency
Identification of		
Potential Problem	07-79	EPA
SI	07-79	EPA
PA	08-79	EPA
SI	04-80	WDOE
PA	04-84	JRB Associates
Hazard Ranking		
System Score	04-84	Unknown-
SĬ	09-84	·E&E

6.0 Observations

On September 12, 1984, a site inspection was conducted at PSL. The site inspection began at 0800. Mike Gallagher (WDOE), Larry Deitrich (PSL), John Zillich (J-U-B Engineering), Peter Evers (E&E), and Richard Brooks (E&E), were present. The inspection included a discussion about background information, a site tour, and sample collection. It was noted during the site tour that no drums or hazardous wastes were visible at the surface and no color stains or leachate seeps were observed.

Three groundwater samples were collected during the inspection. The results of the analysis of these samples are contained in Appendix A. This data indicates that there is no organic contamination from the site reaching the groundwater which was sampled. The inorganic analysis revealed higher levels of heavy metals in the well which has been identified as the control well (Table 6.1).

TABLE 6.1
COMPARISON OF SELECTED HEAVY METALS (ug/1)

Metal	Upgradient Well	Well No. 3	EPA Recommended Level*
Aluminum	102,000	41,500	
Arsenic**	39	28	50
Barium	1,631	785	1,000
Beryllium	7	5 U	1.17
Cadmium	1.9	1 U	10
Chromium	105	46	50
Cobalt	170	5 U	
Copper**	280	120	1,000
Iron	199,900	97,450	·
Lead	160	70	50
Manganese	4,380	1,694	
Nickel**	162	63	13.4
Vanadium	302	200 U	
Zinc	514	207	5,000

- *Compiled from a) National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations, EPA Office of Water Supply, 1979.
 - b) Water Quality Criteria Documents, Federal Register, Vol. 45, No. 231, November 1980.
- U Under detection limit (listed next to value).
- ** Refer to cover memorandum to the inorganic data for discussion of these values.

This data suggests that:

- o the actual direction of groundwater flow is possibly not in the direction which has been tentatively identified;
- o hazardous wastes may have been disposed of upgradient of the control well which have not been identified in past reports and may have migrated to this well.

Because of this uncertainty, it can not be determined if the inorganic contamination is originating on or off-site.

7.0 Discussion

One factor that may efect the quality of analytical data of the groundwater samples collected from the PSL is the monitoring well design (Figure 7.1). The wells are screened at 2 intervals separated by approximately 15 feet. The water samples are obtained by placing a




FIGURE 7.1
WELL NO. 2 (5)
(NSTALLED I-14-82)

pump halfway between these screens. Utilizing this design there is no assurance that:

- o equal amounts of water are extracted from each screened interval;
- o the depth from which the sample is being collected is accurately known;
- the concentrations of contaminates are representative of the actual groundwater conditions due to the potential unequal volumes of water are extracted from each screened interval.

It is difficult to compare previous groundwater analytical data available and establish possible trends of contamination at the PSL. The samples collected by J-U-B Engineers were analyzed for different parameters than those collected by E&E. The analytical data which is contained in the J-U-B report is incomplete in that the units of measurement are not given. The exception to this are the metals data which are contained in Table 7.1, these metals were analyzed for by both firms.

TABLE 7.1
COMPARISON OF PREVIOUS ANALYTICAL DATA METAL (ug/1)

Well Number	Date Sampled	Ársenic	Barium	Cadmium	Chromium	Lead
Upgradient	17-18/02/84	10 U	100 U	1 U	5 U	5 U
	12/09/84	39**	1,631	1.9	106	160
3	17-18/02/84	10 U	, 100 U	1 U	5 U	5 U
	12/09/84	28**	785	1 U	46	70

U - Under detection limit (listed next to value)

It can be interpreted from the data contained in Table 7.1 that the levels of metal contaminaton have increased between samplings. Records of materials disposal of on-site indicate that at least 3 of the 5 metals described in Table 7.1 were disposed at the PSL. These include: Barium, Chromium, and Lead.

^{** -} To cover memorandum to the inorganic data for discussion of these values

Evaluation and Recommendation - Resource Recovery Corporation

Based on existing data and documentation, further investigation is needed to assess the potential hazard associated with this site. Because of the toxic nature of many of the compounds (e.g. 2,4-D, MCPA) which have been disposed of at PSL and the observed increase of contaminants in the on-site and control wells, the following recommendations should be considered:

- 1) Further investigation should be carried out to determine the validity of the site's disposal records. There are a number of variations relating to the quantities and types of wastes which have been disposed of at the PSL.
- Resample all of the wells on-site and analyze for the compounds identified on the EPA Priority Pollutant List including dioxins.
- Monitor the wells and determine whether or not seasonal fluctuations (due to local irrigation demands) of groundwater flow exist which could transport contaminants toward the on-site control well.
- Collect subsurface soils samples adjacent to all waste disposal areas to determine whether or not wastes are leaching from these areas.
- 5) Contact the chemical toilet firm which is disposing waste water in the sewage lagoon and determine if any chemicals which they are using are hazardous.

These recommendations should be pursued on a medium priority basis.

6) GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION SHOULD BE FURTHER INVESTIGATED.

7) Determine if wester disposed of "upogradient" of control well. 8) Determine if inorgania contamination is originating from on or off. site.

REFERENCES

- Doug Hansen, Director, EPA Air and Hazardous Materials Division; Letter to the EPA files; August 2, 1979.
- Resource Recovery Corporation Industrial Disposal Site Evaluation, Report by Washington Department of Ecology December 1973 contained in the Region X EPA ERRIS site files.
- 3. HRS User's Manual (draft) 10 June 1982, document developed for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency by the Mitre Corporation (pg. 15).
- -4. Basalt Waste Isolation Project, Annual Report Fiscal Year 1980, RHO-BWI-80-100, document prepared for U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-ACO6-77RL01030 by Rockwell International.
- 5. Summary Report Groundwater Quality in the Vicinity of the Pasco Landfill, by J-U-B Engineers, Kennewick, Washington, July 1983. Contained in the Region X U.S. EPA ERRIS site files.
- 6. The Climatic Atlas of the United States, U.S. Department of Commerce, June 1958 (reprinted by NUAA, 1979).
- $7\,$ 7. Personal communication with Larry Dietrich during site inspection.
 - 8. EPA Files on RRC. Monthly wastes accepted, reports from RRC to WDOE, May 1973 to December 1974.
 - 9. Evaluation of the Pasco Sanitary Landfill Waste Disposal Practices, J-U-B Engineers, Kenewick, Washington, June 1981.
- 7 10. Telephone Conversation. Mike Gallagher, Environmentalist II, WDOE, Chris Nadler, FIT Investigator, E&E, Seattle, 11 December 1984, 135D.
 - 11. EPA files.

APPENDIX A

QUALITY ASSURANCE MEMOS AND ANALYTICAL DATA SHEETS RESOURCE RECOVERY CORPORATION, PASCO, WASHINGTON CASE NO.: 3206

SITE INSPECTION - 9/12/84
ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT, INC., SEATTLE



ecology and environment, inc.

108 SOUTH WASHINGTON, SUITE 302, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104, TEL. 206-624-9537

International Specialists in the Environmental Sciences

MEMORANDUM

DATE: November 7, 1984

TO: John Osborn, FIT RPO

EPA, Region X

THRU: Dave Buecker, FIT RPM ج

E&E, Seattle

FROM: Jim Farr, Senior Chemist

E&E, Seattle

SUBJ: QA of Sample Results for Case 3206

I have finished my review of data for Case 3206. Chemtech analyzed three water samples for inorganics. I believe the data to be acceptable except for the following comment:

Duplicate data for arsenic, copper, and nickel gave high RPD's. I have starred all results on the data sheets that are associated with the duplicate. The starred values (*) suggest a larger imprecision for measuring that particular element. The imprecision would suggest error of $\pm 44\%$ for arsenic, $\pm 46\%$ for copper, and $\pm 24\%$ for nickel.

Otherwise all results are as reported. Please call me if you have questions.

CC: Peter Evers
JF:pc
attachment

U.S. EPA Contract Laboratory Program Sample Maragement Office P.O. Box 513 - Alexandria, VA 22313 703/557-2490 FTS: 8-557-2490

EPA Sample No.

MJ 9043

Exhibit B

Date 10-24-84

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

LAS NAME CHEMTECH	CASE NO. 3206
123 SAMPLE ID. NO. 62-322-01	CC REPORT NO. 322
Matrix Low Water	rified and Measured /kg (Circle Che)
1. Aluminum /02000	13. Magnesium NP
1. Antimony 420-20 u	14. Mancanese 4380
3. <u>Arsenic</u> 39*	15. Mercury 60.2 0.2 u
4. Bariem 1631	16: Nickel /62*
5. Bervllium 7	17. Potassium NR
6. Carrier Doton 1.9	13. Selenium 2
7. Calcium NR	19. Silvsr 2/0 10u
8. <u>Chromium</u> /06	20. Sodium NR
9. <u>Cabalt</u> /70	21. Thallium 4/0-/6i
10. Cccoer 280#	22. Tin 420 Z C u
11. Iron 199900	23. Vansdium 302
12. <u>Lead</u> /60	24. Zinc 514
Cranice 40 10 u	Percent Solids NR
Factnotes: For reporting results to EP defined on Cover Rage. Add	PA, standard result qualifiers are used as ditional flags or footnotes explaining efinition of such flags must be explicit a, however.
Comments:	116.80
	Lab Manager 4. He dwg

U.S. EPA Contract Laboratory Program Sample Maragement Office P.O. Box 813 - Alexandria, VA 22313 703/557-2490; FTS: 8-557-2490

EPA Sample No. 9044 MJ

Date 10-21/-

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

LAS NAME CHEMITECH	CASE NO. 3206
143 SAPLE ID. NO.62-322-02	CC REPORT NO. 322
Marix Low Water	tified and Measured /kg (Circle Cne)
1. <u>Aluminum</u> 203	13. Magnesium NR
2. <u>Antimony</u> 420 20 u.	I4. Marcanese
3. <u>Arsenic</u> 410 lou	15. Mercury ≤0.2 0.2 u
4. Earin (-100-107) u	16. Nickel < 40 -40 u
5. Bervillium <5 Su	17. Potassium NR
6. <u>Carrier</u> 21-lu	18. <u>Selenium</u> < 2-2 u
7. Calcium RR	19. <u>Silver</u> 4/0 ⁻ /04
3. <u>Ourmium</u> <u>£10/04</u>	20. Sodium NR
9. <u>Cobalt</u> ≠50 € 6	21. Thallium 40.18 ii
10. Corcer 450-500	22. Tin 420 20 4
11. <u>Iron</u> /63	23. Vanadium < 200 7 - (
12. <u>Lead</u> 55 5 4	24. Zinc 2/0 '^u
Cyanice <u> </u>	Percent Solids NR
	A, standard result qualifiers are used sitional flags or footmotes explaining

as results are encouraged. Definition of such flags must be explicit and contained on Cover Page, however.

Comments:		_/~	
	·	Lab Manager The Vi	+

#3

U.S. EPA Contract Laboratory Program Sample Management Office P.O. Ecx 813 - Alexandria, VA 22313 703/557-2490 FTS: 8-557-2490 EPA Sample No.

MJ 9045

Date 10-24-84

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DAIA SHEET

LAB NAME CHEMTECH	CASE NO. 3206
123 SAMPLE ID. NO. <u>62-322-03</u>	OC REPORT NO. 322
Marrix Liw Water	rified and Measured (kg (Circle One)
1. Aliminum 4/500	13. Macnesium NR
2. Antimony Sad Zou	14. <u>Manganese</u> /694
3. Armenic 28th	15. Mercury 40,2-0.24
4. Barium 785	16. Nickel 63*
5. Fervillium 555 a	17. Potassium NR
6. <u>Carrium</u> <u> </u>	18. Selenium \mathcal{Z}
7. Calcium NR	19. Silver 4/0- 10 a
8. Chranium 42	20. Sodium NR
9. Cobalt 450.50 u	21. Thallium 210 (0 ii
10. Coccer 120 #	22. <u>Tin</u> 420 20 a
11. <u>Iron</u> 97450	23. Vanadium 4200-200 u
12. <u>Lead</u> 70	24. zinc 207
Cyanide	Percent Solids NR
Footnates: For reporting results to EP defined on Cover Page. Add	A, standard result qualifiers are used as itional flags or fcotnotes explaining finition of such flags must be explicit, however.
Caments:	
•	Lab Manager //provi



ecology and environment, inc.

108 SOUTH WASHINGTON, SUITE 302, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104, TEL. 206-624-9537

International Specialists in the Environmental Sciences

MEMORANDUM

DATE: November 27, 1984

TO: John Osborn, FIT RPO, USEPA Region X

FROM: Andy Hafferty, Chemist, E&E, Seattle J. Farr, Sr. Chemist, E&E, Seattle

THRU: D. Buecker, FIT RPM, E&E, Seattle

SUBJ: QA of Data; Case 3206 - Resource Recovery Corp.

REF: TDD R10-8410-06

The review of three water samples sent to PEDCO Environmental, Inc., Cincinnati, OH, has been completed. These samples were given a full organics analysis.

Sample numbers are J2271, J4548, and J4549.

Volatile surrogate recoveries were all within QC limits.

Half of the pesticide surrogate recoveries were outside QC limits.

Sample	Dibutyl	Chlorendate	(67-114)
J2271 J4548 J4549 (field blank) Lab Blank		69% 22% out 120% out 95%	

There is no explanation for the out of control recovery reported in the field blank.

Eleven out of 36 surrogate recoveries of the Semi-volatile (BNA) fraction were out of control. The samples were <u>not</u> re-extracted and re-analyzed as required by the CLP. The lab blank showed three out of six recoveries outside of QC limits. The field blank, J4549, had two out of six recoveries out of control. Again, there is NO explanation for these unacceptable blank results.

All pesticide matrix spike and matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSD) were within recovery (REC) and reproducibility (RPD) quality control limits.

Two out of 10 volatile MS/MSD recoveries were outside QC limits.

QA of Data; Case 3206 - Resource Recovery Corp. Page 2

Seven out of 14 base/neutral MS/MSD recoveries were outside QC limits and all seven out of seven base/neutral MS/MSD RPD's were OUT of control.

Eight out of ten acid MS/MSD recoveries were <u>outside</u> QC limits and, including one O value, four out of five acid MS/MSD RPD's were OUT of control.

Methylene chloride and acetone in the volatiles lab blank and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate in the BNA lab blank were found at concentrations above the contract specified maximum.

Copies of the PEDCO lab summary sheets have been included in this report.

The laboratory was called on November 8, 1984 by Dr. James Farr (a copy of the telephone log has been included in this report) regarding missing spectra and the failure of the laboratory to carry out contract required re-extraction and re-analysis. The missing spectra have been received and added to the data package. The laboratory's response regarding the failure to re-examine the BNA fraction was that since no compounds were detected, repetitive analyses were unnecessary. The CLP clearly states that the laboratory must repeat the analyses without regard to the presence or lack of quantifiable analytes.

This is the second case of samples received from PEDCO with serious unexplained quality control anomalies. Please refer to the E&E, quality assurance memorandum dated November 2, 1984, regarding QA of Data from the Tulalip Indian Reservation, Case 3270.

The following list summarizes the recommendations regarding this data and the performance of the laboratory.

- 1. The Volatile and Pesticide data are acceptable.
- 2. The Semi-volatile data is unacceptable. If this data must be used, extreme caution should be exercised in drawing any conclusions based on these results.
- 3. The SMO should be notified regarding the continuing quality control problems at PEDCO Environmental, Inc.
- 4. PEDCO should be required to perform the work as specified in the CLP on all future work.

AH:pc Attachments

CC: Bill Ritthaler
Arnold Gahler

5U

5U

108-05-4VINYL ACETATE_ 1330-20-7TOTAL XYLENES_

		USEPA-SAMPLE MANAGEMENT OFFIC PO BOX 818 ALEXANDRIA, VA 2231						00000	SAMPLE NO. J2271	
		ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET						0000028	***************************************	
		LABORATORY NAME						CASE NO:		
		LAB SAMPLE ID NOL						QC REPORT NO.	(0.04, (770	
		SAMPLE MATRIX						CONTRACT NO.	_68-01-6//9	
		DATA RELEASE AUTHORIZED	- X a					DATE SAMPLE RECEIVED	_9/13/84	
		SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS	()							
		CONCENTRATION								
		DATE EXTRACTED								
		DATE ANALYZED								
		PER CENT MOISTURE								
DD 11	CACH	CONCENTRATION/DIL. FACTOR				004	CACH		110.41	
PP#	CASH		UG/L	Ĺ		PP#	CAS#		UG/L	
(2IA)	88-06-2	2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	_ 10	J		(52B)	87-68-3	HEXACHLOROBUTAD I ENE	_ 10	,
(22A)		P-CHLORO-11-CRESOL		ĺ		(538)	77-47-4	HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	10	١
(24A)	95-57-8	2-CHLOROPHENOL	_ 10:	- }		(548)	78-59-1	I SOPHORONE	_ 10	
(31A)	120-83-2	2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	10			(558)	91-20-3	NAPTHALENE	_ 10	
(34A)		2,4-0IMETHYLPHENOL		1		(56B)	98-95-3	NI TROBENZENE	_ 10	
(57A)		Z-NITROPHENOL		1		(628)	86-30-6	N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	_ 10	
(58A)		4-NI TROPHENOL				(638)	621-64-7	N-NITROSOOIPROPYLAMINE	101	
(59A)		2,4-DINITROPHENOL		- 1		(66B)	117-81-7	BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	_ 530	
		4,6-0INITRO-0-CRESOL						BENZYLBUTYL PHTHALATE	3	- [
		PENTACHLOROPHENOL						DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE		1
(65A)		PHENOL						DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE		1
		BENZOIC ACID		1				DIETHYL PHTHALATE		1
		2-METHYLPHENOL		- 1				DIMETHYL PHTHALATE		
		4-METHYLPHENOL		-				BENZO(A) ANTH VACENE		
		2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL						BENZO(A) PYRENE	20	
		ACEHAPTHENE						BENZO(B) FLUORANTHENEPN()/		i
		BENZ I D I NE		-				8ENZO(K) FLUCRANTHENEOR_		
		1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE						OHRYSENE		
(98)		HEXACHLOROBENZENE						ACENAPTHYLENE		i
(123)	67-72-1	HEXACHLOROETHANE	_ 10!					ANTHRACENE		1
(18B)	111-44-4	BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	_ 10		•			BENZO(GHI)PERYLENE		
(208)		2-CHLORONAPTHALENE				(808)	86-73-7	FLUORENE	_ 10	l
(25B)		1,2-01CHLOROBENZENE		-				PHENANTHRENE		l
(268)	341-/3-1	1,3-01CHLOROBENZENE 1,4-DICHLOROEENZENE	_ 10			(828)	53-70-3	OIBENZO(AH) ANTHRACENE	_ 20	1
(27B)								INDENO(123-CD) PYRENE		
(28B)		3,3'-01CHLOROBENZIDINE				(848)	129-00-0	PYRENEANILINE	- 10	
(35B)	17 1-14-7	2,4-0INITROTOLHENE 2,6-0INITROTOLUENE	_ 20! _ 20:					BENZYL ALCOHOL		
(378)	122-44-7	1,2-0IPHENYLHYDRAZINE	_ 20					4-CHLORGANILINE		
	20 Y-44-U	FLUORANTHENE	_ 20	ļ				DIBENZOFURAN		
		4-CHLOROPHENYLPHENYLETHER						2-METHYLNAPTHALENE		
		4-BROI PHENYLPHENYLETHER		}				2-NITROANILINE		i
		BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER		1				3-NITROANILINE		١
(43B)		BIS(2-CHLORETHOXY)HETHANE		j				4-NITROANILINE	400	! J
	/			•			-22 01 0		- • ,	3



1330-20-7TCTAL XYLENES_____

USEPA-SAMPLE MANAGEMENT OFFICE SAMPLE NO. 0000057 PO BOX 818 ALEXANDRIA.VA 22313 .14548 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET LABORATORY NAME... PEDCO ENV. CASE NO:__ 3206 LAB SAMPLE ID NO. DT148 OC REPORT NO. SAMPLE MATRIX HATER CONTRACT NO.______68-01-6779 DATA RELEASE AUTHORIZED_ OATE SAMPLE RECEIVED _____9/13/84 SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS CONCENTRATION.... DATE EXTRACTED_____9/13/84 OATE AMALYZED ______9/26/84 PER CENT MOISTURE_____ _IVA CONCENTRATION/DIL. FACTOR-----500 PP# CAS# UG/L PP# UG/L CAS# (21A) 88-06-2 2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL____ 10 (528) 87-68-3 HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE___ 10 (533) 77-47-4 HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE 59-50-7 P-CHLORO-H-CRESOL____ (22A) 10 10 (24A) 95-57-8 2-CHLOROPHENOL____ 10 (548) 78-59-1 ISOPHORONE 10 120-83-2 2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL_____ (31A) 10 (558) 91-20-3 NAPTNALENE..... 10 (34A) 105-67-9 2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL_____ 10 (568) 98-95-3 NITROBENZENE____ 10 83-75-5 2-NITROPHENOL (628) 86-30-6 N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE____ (57A) 20 1 10 100-02-7 4-NITROPHENOL_____ 50 (58A) (63B) 621-64-7 N-NITROSODIPROPYLAMINE 10 51-28-5 2,4-DINITROPHENOL (59A) 50 (66B: 117-81-7 BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE..... 82.6 (67B) 85-68-7 BENZYLBUTYL PHTHALATE 534-52-1 4,6-DINITRO-O-CRESOL_____ (A0A) 20 2.8 (64A) 87-86-5 PENTACHLOROPHENOL 10 (683) 84-74-2 DI-N-BCTYL PHTHALATE____ 22.6 108-95-2 PHENOL_____ (69B) 117-84-0 DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE_____ (65A) 10 10 65-85-0 BENZOIC ACID_____ (70B) 84-66-2 DIETHYL PHTHALATE..... 100 3.6 (7 IB) 131-11-3 DIMETHYL PHTHALATE. 95-48-7 2-HETHYLPHENOL_____ 5 10 108-39-4 4-NETHYLPHENOL (72B) 56-55-3 BEN20(A) ANTHRACENE..... 5 10 95-95-4 2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL_____ 100 (73B) 50-32-8 BENZO(A) PYRENE (IB) 83-32-9 ACENAPTHENE 10 (748) 205-99-2 BENZO(B) FLIJORANTHENE._AND/___ 20 92-37-5 BENZIDINE_____ (75B) 207-08-9 BENZO(K) FLUORANTHE ____OR__ (5B) 40 20 120-32-1 1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE____ (88) 10 (76B) 218-01-9 CHRYSENE..... 20 118-74-1 HEXACHLOROBENZENE.... (77B) 208-96-8 ACENAPTHYLENE____ (9B) 10 10 (12F) 67-72-1 HEXACHLOROETHANE 10 (788) 120-12-7 ANTHRACENE..... 10 111-44-4 BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER_____ (79B) 191-24-2 BENZO(GHI) PERYLENE_____ 20 (18B) 10 (208) 91-58-7 2-CHLORONAPTHALENE 10 10 (25B) 95-50-1 1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE_ 10 10 (828) 53-70-3 01BENZ0(AH) ANTHRACENE_____ 20 (26B) 541-73-1 1,3-0ICHLOROBEN2ENE_____ 10 (27B) 106-46-7 1,4-0ICHLOROBENZENE.... (833) 193-39-5 INDENO(123-CD) PYRENE..... 10



10

5

20

50-

100

100

100

7

(843) 129-00-0 PYRENE_____

62-53-3 ANILINE_____

100-51-6 BENZYL ALCOHOL____

106-47-8 4-CHLOROANILINE_____

132-64-9 DIBENZOFURAN_____

91-57-6 2-METHYLNAPTHALENE

88-74-4 2-NITROANILINE_____

99-09-2 3-NITROANILINE

100-01-6 4-NITROANILINE

20

20

20

20

10

10

10

20 7

(288)

(36B)

91-94-1 3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE____

606-20-2 2,6-0INITROTOLUENE

(358) 121-14-2 2,4-DINITRCTOLUENE

(378) 122-66-7 1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE_____

(40B) 7005-72-3 4-CHLOROPHENYLPHENYLETHER.....

(418) 101-55-3 4-BROMPHENYLPHENYLETHER_____

(423) 39638-32-9 BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETNER___

(438) 111-91-1 BIS(2-CHLORETHOXY)NETHANE____

(398) 206-44-0 FLUORANTHENE_____

5U

1330-20-7T(ITAL XYLENES_____

0000010

SAMPLE NO. J4549

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

LABORATORY NAME	PEDCO ENV.
LAB SAMPLE ID NO	DT 149
SAMPLE NATRIX	NATER
SAMPLE MATRIX DATA RELEASE AUTHORIZED	- A 9

CASE NO:_______3206

OC REPORT NO.______

CONTRACT NO._____68-01-6779

DATE SAMPLE RECEIVED _____9/13/84

SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS

CONCENTRATION	LOH
DATE EXTRACTED	9/13/84
DATE ANALYZED	9/26/84
PER CENT MOICTURE	N/A
CONCENTRATION/DIL FACTO	R500

		CUNCENTRATION/DIL. FACTOR						
PP#	CAS#	,	UG/L		PP#	CAS#		UG/L
(21A)	88-06-2	2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	10	J	(525	87-68-3	HEXACHLOROBUTAD I ENE	10 J
22A)		P-CHLORO-M-CRESOL		1	(533	77-47-4	HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTAO I ENE	10
(24A)	95-57-8	2-CHLOROPNENOL	10		(548	78-59-1	I SOPHORONE	10
(31A)	120-83-2	2,4-0ICNLOROPHENOL	10	Ì	(553)	91-20-3	NAPTHALENE	10
(34A)		2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL		1			NITROBENZENE	
(57A)	88-75-5	2-NITROPHENOL	20		(628)	86-30-6	N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	10
(5SA)		4-NITROPHENOL		- {	(633)	621-64-7	N-NITROSOOIPROPYLAMINE	10
(59A)	51-28-5	2,4-0INITROPHENOL	50		(668)	117-81-7	N-NITROSOOIPROPYLAMINE BIS(2-ETHYLNEXYL)PHTHALATE	20.4
(60A)		4,6-0INITRO-0-CRESOL		- [(673)	85-68-7	BENZYLBUTYL PHTHALATE	10
(64A)	87-86-5	PENTACHLOROPHENOL	10		(688)	84-74-2	DI-N-BCTYL PHTHALATE	9.2
(65A)	103-95-2	PHENOL	10		(693)	117-84-0	DI-N-OCTYL PHTNALATE	10
		BENZOIC ACID		İ	(708)	84-66-2	DIETHYL PHTHALATE	10
	95-48-7	Z-METHYLPHENOL	5)	(713)	131-11-3	OIMETHYL PHTHALATE	10
	108-39-4	4-NETHYLPHENOE	5		(728)	56-55-3	BENZO(A) ANTHRACENE	10
		2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL					8ENZ O(A) PYRENE	20
		ACENAPTHENE			(748)	205-99-2	BENZO(B) FLUORANTIÆNE_AND/	
(58)	92-87-5	BENZ I D I NE	40		(758)	207-08-9	BENZO(10 FLUONANTHENEOR	
(88)	120-82-1	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	. 10	-			CHRYSENE	
	118-74-1	HEXACHLORO8ENZENE	10				ACENAPTHYLENE	
(128)		HEXACHLOROETHANE		-	(788)	120-12-7	ANTHRACENE	10 /
(183)		BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETNER		i	(798)	191-24-2	BENZO(GHI) PERYLENE	20
(208)		2-CHLORONAPTHALENE			(808)	86-73-7	FLUORENE	10
		1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE		1			PHENANTHRENE	
		1,3-0ICHLOROBENZENE					DIBENZO(AH) ANTHRACENE	
		1,4-0ICHLOROBENZENE			(838)	193-39-5	INDENO(123-CD) PYRENE	
		3,3'-DICHLOROSENZIDINE		1	(848)	129-00-0	PYRENE	10
		2,4-0INITROTOLUENE				62-53-3	ANILINE	5 · \
		2,6-0INITROTOLUENE					BENZYL ALCOHOL	
(378)	122-66-7	1,2-01PHENYLHYDRAZINE	20	}		106-47-8	4-CHLOROANILINE	50
(39B)	206-44-0	FLUORANTIENE	10			132-64-9	DIBENZOFURAN	10
(408)	7005-72-3	4-CHLOROPHENYLPHENYLETHER	10			91-57-6	2-METHYLNAPTHALENE	20
		4-BRCMPHENYLPHENYLETHER		1			2-NITROANILINE	1
		BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER		1			3-NITROANILINE	
(438)	111-91-1	BIS(2-CHLORETHOXY) HETHANE	20	5		100-01-6	4-NITROPANILINE	100 J
							*	



FORM 111

	1	-Voleti	ie		S	eal-Volatil	e]]	Pesticide]	Dioxin
Raport No.	Dg Toluena (86~119)	BPB (85- 121)	D ₄ -1,2- Dichloro- ethane (77-12D)	D ₅ - Nitro- benzene (41-12D)	2-Fluoro- blphenyl (44-119)	D ₁₄ - p-Ter- phenyl (33-128)	D ₅ - Phenol (15-96)	2-Fiuoro- phenol (23-107)	2,4,6- Tr1brooo- pheno1 (20-106)	bibutyl- Chloren- date (67-114)**	1,2,3,4 TCO (23-1
ocart	109	86	97	JY	90	(196X)	(64)	(70X)		95-	1
J227/	113	90	95	56 74	104	80	16	52	44	(224)	
14549	111	96	101	70	112	(186X)	(4X)	44	60	(/20 T	
m's	//7	99	99	(26×)	(42 x	772	44	82	76		
WZD	///	95	98	78	114	(1424)	(14*)	24	(6 *)		
	 										
									 		ļ
	1										<u> </u>

O out of $\frac{1}{1}$; outside of QC limits

out of $\frac{3}{6}$; outside of QC limits

out of $\frac{4}{6}$; outside of QC limits Voletiles: Seal-Voiltales: Pesticides:

CASE NO. 3206	CONTRACTOR PET ASSUC	CONTRACT NO. 65-01-6239
LOW LEVEL	HEO. LEVEL	HIGH LEVEL
UATER	SOIL/SED.	OTHER (Specify)
QC REPURT NO.		UNITS (Circle) ug/Kg ug/17

		CONC. SPIKE	CONC.	7	CONC.	1			LIMITS*	
FRACTION	COMPOUND	ADDED	MS	REC.	MSD_	REC.	RPD	RPD	RECOVERY	COMMENTS
VUA	I,I-Dichloroethylene	49.9	57.2	115	591	120	4	₹15%	51-151	
SHO /	Trichloroethylene	55.4	64.5	116	65.4	118	7	<15%	74-128	
J4579	Chlorobenzene	42.3	592	(140×	64.3	15.2	-8	<15%	67-131	
<u> </u>	Toluene	42.1	52.3	124	51.7	23		<15%	58-132	
cω	Benzene.	41.7	44.9	108	45.0	108	0	<15%	56-132	
B/N	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	51.7	11.4	ans	540.4	78	1/28	<50%	38- 108	
SHO /	Acenaphthene	50.3	22.1	444X	52.4	110	186X	<50%	57- 115	
J4548	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	522	4.0	(8 X	15.1	(30X	1168	₹50%	43-113	
	Di-N-Butylphthalate	69.5	14.6	2-1	39.8	57	924	₹50%	13-113	
1	Pyrene	45.0	57.4	78	109.4	1684	23X	₹50%	25-137	
CW	N-Nitrosodi-N-Propylamine	56./	10.8	19X		69	1148	<50%	34-114	
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	60.7	11.6	794	45.0	75	119 X	<50%	33- 103	
ACTO	Pentachlorophenol	191.2	9.6	9 X	11.8	27X	29	<40%	19-123	
SNO /	Phenol	103.8	46.4	45	16.8	VEX.	93×	<40%	23- 81	
V4548	2-Chlorophenol	110.4	74.8	68	72.8	12/X	129K	<40%	33-107	
	P-Chloro-H-Cresol	100.8	19.0	X19	8.2	(XX	818	<40%	32-1 08	
CN	4-Hitrophenol	100-8	0	(OX)	_0	(O+)		<40%	15- 93	
PEST	Lindane	12.6	125	98	12.3	96	Z	<40%	87-107	
SHO	Heptachlor	9.9	7.8	78	7.5	79		<40%	43-125	
12271	Aldrin	10-8	10-0	92	9.9	9/		<40%	45-109	
1 "	Pieldrin	10.1	10.7	106	10-0	99	7_	<40%	56-122	
#3	Endrin	10.4	9.5	9/	9.0	86	5	<40%	89-101	
	p,p-DUT	10.1.	8.8	87	82	81	6	₹40%	B2-1 02	

*Asterisked values are outside QC limits.

RPD: VOAs 0 out of 5; outside QC limits REDIX OUT of 7; outside QC limits ACIO 3 out of 5; outside QC limits PEST 0 out of 6; outside QC limits

RECOVERY: VOAs 2 out of 10; outside QC Ilmits

B/N 7 out of 14; outside QC limits

ACID 8 out of 10; outside QC limits

PEST 0 out of 12; outside QC limits

*Date Limits Set 12/82 Revision Due 6/83

Exhibit B Page 25 of

REAGENT BLANK SUMMARY

FILE ID	DATE OF	FRACTION	MATRIX	CONÇ.	INST. ID	CAS MUUBER	COMPCUNO (HSL.	CONC.	UNITS	CRDL	
, ABCK 09/3	9-13	VOA	W	<u>L</u>	7		merhyloro	Chiforide-	9.6	Jus 1-8	.5
							Acctore	Chrhoride-	11.3	7 4	10
				-			2- Setano	ie-	5.0	D .	10
								\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	170	1 A	1
VABCIC 0926	9-26	Bug	W	6	_ ヱ		Bis(2-Ethylbe	(4) Shiha Care	11.8	15/1	10
					,				 		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						 		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·						 		
251 B(1C	10-4	PE	W	C	V		Nme				
	<u> </u>						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
-			<u></u>								
	<u> </u>						l .				•
					·	ļ					
	ļ 						·		 		
					·	 					

FORM IV

Eill Jus (513) 792-4700 Feder Environmental, Inc. 11499 Chester Rd. Cincinnati, OH. 45246

by Reference to Case No(s):

Contract Laboratory Program REGIONAL/LABORATORY COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

Telephone Record Log

Date of Call: 11/6/84
Laboratory Name: Pedan Eno. Inc. Lab Contact: Bill 9155
Region: 10 Regional Contact: Qim Farr
Call Initiated By: Laboratory Region
In reference to data for the following sample number(s): 7127174543 74549
Summary of Questions/Issues Discussed:
I a motive Exite recoveries for BAN fraction
and least it was 't acknowledged.
Summary of Resolution: Diet cend messing esection Area hits de and William Auto Therefore no
Signature Date
Signature

APPENDIX B

SAMPLE DOCUMENTATION
RESOURCE RECOVERY CORPORATION, PASCO, WASHINGTON
CASE NO.: 3206
STTE INSPECTION - 9/12/84
ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT, INC., SEATTLE

APPENDIX B - SAMPLE DOCUMENTATION

FI- 108408 -22

Resource Recovery Corp.

Case No.: 3206

Location Number	Latitude/ Lonoitude	STORET Station Number	Sample Containers	Dare and Time	Lustody Form Number	Sample Lab. Number	Samole Type (grab)	Means of Preser- vation	Analysis Requested	Destination
Control Well	46°45'00"	05A013	2 ½-gal. jars	9/12/84 10:30	10-1282	J 4548	Aqueous	Iced	Extractable Organics	Pedco
	119 9 02' 30"		2 40-ml. vials	9/12/84 10:30	10-1282	J 4548 ¹	(Grab)	Iced	Volatile Organics	Pedco
			2 1-liter Poly bottles	9/12/84 10:30	10-1283	MJ 9043	Aqueous (Grab)	NaOH HNO3	Cyanide Heavy Metals	Chem Tech Chem Tech
Well #3	46° 45' 00"	05A014	2 ½-gal. jars	9/12/84 14:30	10-1282	J 2271	Aqueous	Iced	Extractable Organics	Pedco
	119° 02' 30"	•	2 40-ml. vials	9/12/84 14:30	10-1282	J 2271	(Grab)	Iced	Volatile Organics	Pedco
			2 1-liter Poly bottles	9/12/84 14:30	10-1283	MJ 9045`	Aqueous (Grab)	NaOH HNO3	Cyanide Heavy Metals	Chem Tech Chem Tech
Transfer	,		2 ½-gal. jars	9/12/84 10:15	10-1282	J 4549.	Aqueous	Iced	Extractable Organics	Pedco
Blank			2 40-ml. vials	9/12/84 10:15	10-1282	J 4549	(Grab)	Iced	Volatile Organics	Pedco
1			2 1-liter Poly bottles	9/12/84 10:15	10-1283	MJ 9044	Aqueous (Grab)	NaOH HNO3	Cyanide Heavy Metals	Chem Tech Chem Tech

APPENDIX C

SITE INSPECTION REPORT FORM 9/12/84

RESOURCE RECOVERY CORPORATION
PASCO, WASHINGTON
COMPILED BY
ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT, INC.
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 1 - SITE LOCATION AND INSPECTION INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION
OF STATE OF

PART 1	-SITE LOCA	TION AND	INSPE	CTION INFORM	ATION	T MADDILOTOT +		
II. SITE NAME AND LOCATION								
0.1 SITE NAME (Lagar, common, or describing name of size)		1	02 STREET, ROUTE NO., OR SPECIFIC LOCATION IDENTIFIER					
Resource Recovery Corporat	ion		Ka	ahlotus Rd.	& Hwy 12			
03 CITY				05 ZIP CODE	08 COUNTY	07COUNTY G8 CCNG DODE DIST		
Pasco			WA	99301	Franklin	021 05		
09 COORDINATES 4 6 1 5 0 7.0 1 1 9 0 3 1		YPE OF OWNERSHIP (Chece one) **D. A. PRIVATE B. FEDERAL						
III. INSPECTION INFORMATION								
O DATE OF INSPECTION O2 SITE STATUS O3 YEARS OF OPERATION AS A hazardous water of the state of t					UNKNOWN			
04 AGENCY PERFORMING INSPECTION (Chack as mm appry)								
☐ A. EPA CXB. EPA CONTRACTOR	(Name of firm)		☐ C. M	UNICIPAL 🗆 D. M	UNICIPAL CONTRACTOR _	(Name of fron)		
□ F. STATE CONTRACTOR	(Name of firm)		□ G. O	THER	(Specify)			
05 CHIEF INSPECTOR	OB TITI	.E		 -	07 ORGANIZATION	08 TELEPHONE NO.		
Peter Evers	Env	ironmen	tal (Chemist	E&E	(206) 624-9537		
09 OTHER INSPECTORS	10 1111	-			11 ORGANIZATION	12 TELEPHONE NG.		
Rich Brooks	Bio	logist			E&E	(206) 624-9537		
Mike Gallagher	Env	ironmen	tali	st II	WDOE	206 ₇ 753-2353		
						()		
			-			()		
						()		
13 SITE REPRESENTATIVES INTERVIEWED	14 1111			5ADDRESS		16 TELEPHONE NO		
Larry Dietrich, Pasco Sanit	ary	r/Opera	tor	420 E. Air	ISWORTN	⁽⁵⁰⁹⁾ 547-4802		
Lan John Zillich, Engineering	dtill Proj	ect Mgr		J.U.B. Eng	gineers, Inc.	(509) 783-2144		
Consultant to Above.				N.W. Cross	sing Offiec #201	L ()		
·				2810 W. C1	earwater Ave.	()		
				Kennewick,	, WA 99336	()		
						()		
17 ACCE S GAINED BY 18 TIME OF INSPECTION	19 WE	THER CONDIT	IONS					
© PERMISSION 8:00 a.m.		Sunny &	Warn	1	······································			
IV. INFORMATION AVAILABLE FROM						Lea zel Egyove		
01 CONTACT		Agency/Organiza	ton)			03 TELEPHONE NO.		
Debbie Flood		EPA		·	· 	1 200442-2722		
O4 PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR SITE INSPECTION FORM Christopher M. Nadler	os age EPA	ncy /FIT		ANIZATION E. & E.	(206) 624-9537	12/12/84 		

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 2 - WASTE INFORMATION

I. IDENT	TIFICATION
WATATE	WAD591281874

1 PHYSICAL S	TATES (Chaca sa 1041 soon)	02 WASTE QUANT	· · · · · · - · · · -	03 WASTE CHARACT	ERISTICS (Cnoca as Inst.	*00'Y)	
A. SOUO B. POWDE C. C. SLUDGE	E EJ G. CAS	TONS	ol weste ouenië es i nosconoenii	X) A. TOXIC 公 B. CORRO 口 C. RADIO 以 D. PERSIS	CTIVE & G. FLAN	CTIOUS Ü.L.EXPI AMABLE ØK.REA TABLE Ü.L.INCO	LY VOLATILE LOSIVE CTIVE OMPATIBLE TAPPLICABLE
	(Specuvi	NO. OF DRUMS				·	<u></u>
III. WASTE T	YPE			,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CATEGORY	SUBSTANCEN	AME		02 UNIT OF MEASURE	03 COMMENTS	 	·····
SLU	SLUDGE	. 	80-160	drums			
OLW	OILY WASTE		1593-681 dru	his/82,350-15	10,640 gallo	ons/665,950	pounds
SCL PSD	SOLVENTS	- 	12,648	gallons drums/2,81	3 harrole/6	500 pails 2	1 DOMCDA
OCC	PESTICIDES	ICANO AL C		 			
100	OTHER ORGANIC CHEMIC		119.270-33.2	12 drums/300	763-675.70	<u>)6_pnunds/26</u>	<u>1,178-290,</u>
ACD	ACIDS	<u></u>	1544 drums /6	<u>!</u> 92,967 gallo	nc /2 790 01	IO pounda /1	014 haves
BAS	BASES		61,788	gallons	1115/2,/09,9	to pounds/1,	514 Darrels
MES	HEAVY METALS		 		001	/11 !	
	OUS SUBSTANCES IS A	oends for most trans-ent	TIU, DUU-II, D	R2_tons/700	ratti-buttuqs/	<u>ll_drums</u>	
01 CATEGORY	02 SUBSTANCE N		03 CAS NUMBER	04 STORAGE/TXSF	POSAL METHOD	OS CONCENTRATION	06 MEASURE OF
occ	Pentarhloropher		87865	drummed la		unknown	N/A
	2,4-D	11.1	94757	drummed, la		unknown	N/A
	Mercury(in Bari	um Sludae		lined pond		unknown	N/A
	Chromium		7440473	lined oond	(2)	unknown	N/A
	MCPA(4-chlncn-2	-Mathyl n			\ · /	- unxilowii	
		-n-rigi ()	I YOUR TELLIN	drummed&lan	dfilled	unknown	N/A
10C	NH4+			unknown	GI IIICU	unknown	N/A N/A
	Na OH		1310732	unknown		unknown	1
•	DCP (dirhloroph	ennl)	120832 &	unknown		unknown-	N/A
	<u></u>		87650			-ui Ki ЮWI	1-11/1
i			0,000			· · ·	
 i							
					_		
						,	1
i							
<u> </u>							
V FEEDSTO	CKS (See a country for CAS Number		1	L			
CATEGORY	O1 FEEDSTOCK		02 CAS NUMBER	CATEGORY	01 FEEDSTO	OCK NAME	02 CAS NUMBER
FDS		···		FDS			
	Mercury Chromium		7439976 7440473				
FDS FDS	1. Citt Ollitulli		1/4404/3	FDS	·		
FDS				FDS			
	1		(, 53			<u> </u>

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT

01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER WAD991281874 PART 3 - OFSCRIPTION OF HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS

1. IDENTIFICATION

PART 3 - CESCRIF HOLD OF MAZARDOOD CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS
II. HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS
01 & A. GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION 02 & OBSERVED (DATE: 9/12/84) POTENTIAL ALLEGED 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
Analysis of groundwater samples collected during E&E site inspection revealed levels of barium (1,631 (μ g/1), beryllium (7 μ g/1), chromium (706 μ g/1), lead (160 μ g/1), and nichel (162 μ g/1) above the EPA recommended levels.
01 № B. SURFACE WATER CONTAMINATION 0 02 □ OBSERVED ICATE) © POTENTIAL □ ALLEGED 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
No observed release. It appears that due to lack of surface waters and lack of rain, little potential exist for surface water contamination.
01 & C. CONTAMINATION OF AIR 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: UNKNOWN 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
No observed release. Local farmers and residents expressed concern over burial of $2,4-D$ and MCPA wastes and their effects on local grapevines.
01 Ø D. FIRE EXPLOSIVE CONDITIONS 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: UNKNOWN 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
No documented evidence of threat.
01 ဩ E. DIRECT CONTACT 02 □ OBSERVED (DATE:) ☑ POTENTIAL □ ALLEGED 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:UNKNOWN 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
No reported incidents. Site is not fenced and could be accessible to trespassers. WDOE, however, relieved site of a fencing requirement due to "lack of problems experienced without one". Operator's residence is on site.
01 🛣 F. CONTAMINATION OF SOIL 03 AREA POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: UNKNOWN (Acres) 02 □ OBSERVED (DATE:
The liquid wastes were disposed of in unlined trenches. The ground water samples which were collected 9/12/84 revealed heavy metal contamination, therefore the potential exists for soil contamination.
01 X G. DRINKING WATER CONTAMINATION 100 02 C OBSERVED (DATE.) S POTENTIAL C ALLEGED 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
None observed. Concern however, for potential seepage from septic disposal area laterally migrating to hazardous waste areas. Also concern for unlined disposal sites leaking into water table aquifer.
01 : 文 H. WORKER EXPOSURE:INJURY 10 02 □ OBSERVED (DATE:)
None observed. Disposal area coverings are eroding and potential for worker exposure although slight, is possible.
01 EXI. POPULATION EXPOSURE:INJURY 02 © OBSERVED (OATE) © POTENTIAL © ALLEGED 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
None observed. Potential for significant population exposure is slight due to wastes being buried, lack of open evaporation ponds and remote location. However, due to lack of fencing around facility results in uncontrolled access.

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE BITE INSPECTION REPORT

PART 3-DESCRIPTION OF HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS

I. IDENTIFICATION

O1 STATE O2 SITE NUMBER

WA WAD991281874

II. HAZARDOUS CONDIȚIONS ANO INCIDENTS (Continued)				
01 O(J. DAMAGE TO FLORA 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	02 OBSERVED (DATE:	1	CX POTENTIAL	☐ ALLEGED
None observed. However, accusations	were made in 1973 over	the :	site acceptin	a 2.4-D
and MCPA wastes which are toxic to lo	cal crops (grapevines).	,		g -,
01 ばK. DAMAGE TO FAUNA 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION (Microde name) of seecies)	02 🗆 OBSERVED (DATE.)	☐ POTENTIAL	☐ ALLEGED
None observed.				
01 CXL CONTAMINATION OF FOOD CHAIN 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	02 G OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	☐ ALLEGED
None observed.				
01 (X M. UNSTABLE CONTAINMENT OF WASTES	02 C OBSERVED (DATE:)	☑ POTENTIAL	☐ ALLEGED
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: < 100	D4 14ARRATIVE DESCRIPTION			
Hazardous wastes were disposed in unl covering the trenches	ined bottom trenches.	Wind	is eroding th	ne soils
01 (X N. DAMAGE TO OFFSITE PROPERTY 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	02 C OBSEHVED (DATE:)	☐ POTENTIAL	☐ ALLEGED
None observed.				
				,
01 (X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, WWTPS 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	02 G OBSERVED (DATE:)	□ POTENTIAL	☐ ALLEGED
None observed. Unknown if these syst	ems are in this area.			
01 🕉 P. ILLEGAL UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	C2 C OBSERVED (DATE.	1	□ POTENTIAL	☐ ALLEGED
None observed.				
				
05 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OH ALLE	ED HAZARDS			
None known.				
III. TOTAL POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 8,	523			
IV. COMMENTS				
Due to the nature of wastes disposed of inspection should be carried out for	of and method of disposal and leakage from disposal and	al at reas.	the site, pe	riodic
V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cre specific references, e.g., SIZER THEE)	- mayers, (900ffs)			
EPA Files, Seattle, WA WDOE Files, Olympia, WA E&E water sampling on 9/12/84				·
242 Water Sumpring On 3/12/04				

SEPA	POTENTI.		I. IDENTIFICATION OI STATE 02 SITE NUMBER WAD 991281874			
II. PERMIT INFORMATION						
01 TYPE OF FEPMIT ISSUED (CAeca as that accord)	02 PERMIT NUMBER	O3 DATE	SSUED	04 EXPIRATION DATE	05 COMMENTS	- -
☐ A. NPOES	•					
IB. UIC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
□ C. AIR				i		
ID. RCRA						
T.E. RCRA INTERIM STATUS	- 				 	
TF SPCCPLAN						
Æ G. STATE.	÷ 5301	3/21	/73		state was	ste discharged oerm
⊒ H. LOCAL	3301	3/21	,,,			urce Recovery Corp.
	Cup 02 6	-}			L	nal use permit for
	CIJF 82-6	 - ' -				nitary Landtill.
I J. NONE						Trodry Landerin.
111. SITE DESCRIPTION 01 STCRAGE/CISPOSAL (Chack on that access)	02 AMOUNT 03 UNIT (OF MEASURE		EATMENT (Chaca se that a		05 OTHER
	unknownunknown	,	☐ B. (☐ C. (☐ D. (☐ E.) ☐ F. (☐ G. (INCENERATION UNDERGROUND INJE CHEMICAL/PHYSICA BIOLOGICAL WASTE OIL PROCESS SOLVENT RECOVERY OTHER RECYCLING/I OTHEREVapora	SING RECOVERY tinn	MA. BUILDINGS ON SITE OB AREA OP SITE Approx. 250
IV. CONTAINMENT						
C A. ADECUATE, SECURE	☐ B. MODERATE	₩ C. IN	ADECU.	ATE, POOR	□ D. INSECUI	RE. UNSOUNO. DANGEROUS
corectaphenoferums ciking whers a Chloro-alkali sludge ha buried in a total lined buried with only a 4mil unlined pond.	s been stored in (4mil polyethy	lene) ti	rencl	n. Other ha	zardous w	astes have been
V. ACCESSIBILITY						
01 WASTE EASILY ACCESSIBLE. X YES	S I NO					
Site is not fence, but	in a remote area	a.				

WDOE FILES, OLYMPIA, WA EPA FILES, SEATTLE, WA

VI. SOURCES OF INFORMATION Cité specific references, e.g. state (-es, gample enacysis, répons)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
0		POTE	NTIAL HAZA	RDOUS ¥	/ASTE SI	TE .		NTIFICATION	
			SITE INSPEC		_		IWA	WAD9912	
		PART S-WATER,	DEMOGRAPH	IC, AND E	NVIRONM	ENTAL DATA	نت		<u> </u>
II. OR)NKING WATER	SUPPLY								
01 TYPE OF DRINKING SUI	PPLY		02 STATUS	unknow	ın . `		030	DISTANCE TO SITE	
	SURFACE	MET	ENDANGER	ED AFFI	ECTED	MONITORED	ļ	2 5	
COMMUNITY	A. 🛭	8. □	A. 🗆	_	. 🗆	c . 🗆			11)
NON-COMMUNITY	Ç. 🗆	0. 03	D. 🗆	E	. 🛮	F. 🗆	_B	<u>onsite "</u>	n)
III. GROUNDWATER									
O1 GROUNOWATER USE IN	VICINITY (Checa an	-					-		
C A: ONLY SOURCE F	OR ORINKING	Q B ORPHKING	USTRIAL (RRIGATIO	(L	COMMERCIAL Imired olindir Edul	, INOUSTRIAL, IRRIGAT	TON O	D. NOT USEO, UNU	SEABLE
02 POPULATION SERVED E	BY GROUND WATE	n unknown		O3 DISTANO	E TO NEARE:	ST DRINKING WATER V	4 ЕЦ <u>П</u>	nsite(m	u)
04 DEPTH TO GROUNDWA	TER .	05 DIRECTION OF GROU	INDWATER FLCW	08 DEPTH T		07 POTENTIAL YIEL	.0	B SOLE SOURCE	QUIFER
55		SW (ass	umod \	OF CCN		OF AQUIFER	. 1	O YES	V NO
	(ft)	<u> </u>	unieu /		(ft)	<u>unknown</u>	∠(gpa)		•
The on-site we site provide	irrigatio	n water.							
10 RECHARGE AREA				11 DISCHAR	1				
O YES COMMENTS		•	•	☐ YES	COMMENT	rs			
₩ NO				Ø NO	only	through gro	undwat	ter wells.	
IV. SURFACE WATER									
01 SURFACE WATER USE :	Chieca aner								
又 A. RESERVOIR, RE ORINKING WATE			ECONOMICALLY RESOURCES	c. c	COMMERCIA	AL INDUSTRIAL	□ 0 .:	NOT CURRENTLY	USED
C2 AFFECTED/POTENHALL	Y AFFECTED EOD	S OF WATER							
NAME:					•	AFFECTED	Ε	ISTANCE TO SIT	Ε
Snake Ri	iver			•		-		2 7	(mı
Columbia	River		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					. 3 1	(mi
					 -			 	(mi
V. DEMOGRAPHIC AN	o PROPERTY I	NFORMATION	<u></u>						
OT TOTAL POPULATION WIT					02	DISTANCE TO NEAREE	T FOPULA	RON	
ONE (1) MILE OF SITE	TWO	(2) MILES OF SITE	THEE:3) MILES OF S	ITE .		-:4-		

The area is sparsely populated and agricultural for about 1 mile from site. From 1 to 2 miles, it is suburbs in the direction of Pasco (southwest) and agricultural in the other directions. From 2 to 3 miles, it is urban in the direction of Pasco and agricultural in other directions.

C. 10 640

04 DISTANCE TO NEAREST OFF-SITE BUILDING

B. 1,090

on-site

34 90 CF PERSONS

93 NUMBER OF BUILDINGS WITHIN TWO IZI MILES OF SITE

278

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 5 - WATER, DEMOGRAPHIC, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

I. IDENTIFICATION
OF STATE 102 SITE NUMBER
WA WAD 991281874

PART 5 - WATER, DEMOGRAPHIC	, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DATA
VI. ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION	
01 PERMEABILITY OF UNSATURATED ZONE (Chece one)	
O A. 10 ⁻⁶ - 10 ⁻⁶ cm/sec □ B. 10 ⁻⁴ - 10 ⁻⁶ cm/sec □ C.	. 10 ⁻⁴ - 10 ⁻³ cm/sec
02 PERMEABILITY OF BEDROCK (Chaps one)	Basalt
A. IMPERMEABLE (Lass man 10 ** crivaec) (10 ** - 10 ** crivaec)	☑ C. RELATIVELY PERMEABLE ☐ D. VERY PERMEABLE (10 ⁻² - 10 ⁻⁴ crivsec) [Graver than 10 ⁻⁴ crivsec)
03 DEPTH TO BEDROCK 04 DEPTH OF CONTAMINATED SOIL ZONE	05 SOIL DH
Approx. 140 _(m) unknown (m)	unknown
06 NET PRECIPITATION 07 ONE YEAR 24 HOUR RAINFALL 08	SLOPE DIRECTION OF SITE SLOPE TERRAIN AVERAGE SLOPE
(in) <u>0.8 (in)</u>	1-3 % W-SW 3-5 %
09 FLOOD POTENTIAL 10	
SITE IS INYEAR FLOODPLAIN	ISLAND, COASTAL HIGH HAZARO AREA, RIVERINE FLOODWAY
D.C.	DISTANCE TO CRITICAL HABITAT (of endengered apecies)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Not within one —————(mi)
AN/A(mi) B3.0(mi)	ENDANGERED SPECIES:
13 LAND USE IN VICINITY	
DISTANCE TO:	and the second s
COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL RESIDENTIAL AREAS NATIONAL FORESTS. OR WILDLIFE R	
A 2.0 mile wast B 1.5	(mi) c. 0.0 (m) o(mi)
The site is located in an area similar to Karly flat with numerous closed depressions. In large depression/bowl, with the general land 508 feet) and lowering to the Southwest (elev	a 1.0 mile radius, the topography is a surface raising to the Northeast (elev.
VII. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cde soscific references, e.g., state ties, samore enewals, repor	121
U.S.G.S. Pasco 7 5 minute Quadrangle Uncontrolled hazardous waste site ranking systemated Waste Isolation Project Annual Report prepared for U.S. Department of Energy under International	Fiscal year 1980, RHO-BWI-80-100, document

1	-7	
7		-

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 6 - SAMPLE AND FIELD INFORMATION

I. IDENT	TFICATION
OI STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
WA	WAD991281874

SAMPLE TYPE	C	1 NUMBER CF SAMPLES TAKEN	02 SAMPLES SENT TO	03 ESTIMATED DATE RESULTS AVAA ASL
				HESULIS AVAA ASL
GROUNDWATER	1	3	Organics-Pedco Environmental, Inc.	
SURFACE WATE	R	·	Cincinnati, OH	Attached
WASTE			Inorganics-Chem Tech New York, NY	To Report
AIR			,	
RUNOFF				
SPILL				
SOIL				
VEGETATION				
OTHER				·
III. FIELO MEASU	REMENTS TAKE	N		
1 TYPE None	. 0	2 COMMENTS		
Hone				er en jaron e <mark>leman</mark> er en en
				2
	-			
V. PHOTOGRAPH	IS AND MAPS			
OI TYPE I GROU	ND CXAERIAL	:	02 IN CUSTODY OF Ground-E&E Aerial-Soil Survey	
B MAPS	04 LOCATION OF E&E			
	ATA COLLECT	ED :Provide narrative days	(FID 1803)	
]				
None			,	
_				
				•
•				
l				

E&E Site Inspection 9/12/84 E&E Site Inspection Report 12/14/84

SEPA		SITE INSP	ZARDOUS WASTE SITE ECTION REPORT NER INFORMATION	OI STATE OF	DATION DATE NUMBER WAD991281874
IL CURRENT OWNER(S)			PARENT COMPANY III accordant		
Larry Dietrich		02 D+8 NUMBER	OB NAME	09 0 + B NUMBER	
420 E. Ainsworth		04 SIC CODE	18 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Bos. RFO F. orc.)		11 SIC CODE
OS CITY P. S. C. C.		07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
Pasco 01 NAME	<u> </u> WA	99301 02016 NUMBER	OB NAME		09 D+8 NUMBER
3 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD P. etc.)		04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS IP.O. Box. RFO #. eic.j		11 SIC CODE
os city	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
DI NAME		02 D+8 NUMBER	08 NAME		09 D + B HILMBER
3 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Bos, RFO S. etc.)		04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Soe. AFO J. arc.)		11SIC CODE
SCITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP COCE
1 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	OB NAME		0904-B NUMBER
D3 STREET ADDRESS (P.D. Bos. RFO #, etc.)		04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Bos. RFO #, etc.)		11 SIC CODE
05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	IZCITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
III. PREVIOUS OWNER(S) (List mass receive trest	<u></u>	·	IV. REALTY OWNER(S) (If accompanies and it	most recent firen	
John Dietrich		02 D+8 NUMBER	01 NAME		02 D+8 NUMBE1
P.O. BOX 650		04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P 0. Box. RF0 #. etc.)		04 SIC CODE
Pasco	06STATE WA	99301	OS CITY	06 STATE	07 28P CODE
1 NAME		02 0 1 6 NUMBER	01 NAME '		C2 0+8 NUMBER
3 STREET ADDRESS.P O. Box. RFD # etc.1		04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P. O. Bos. RFD P. etc.)		04 SIC CODE
5 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CTTY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE
1 NAME		02 D+8 NUMBER	01 NAME		02 D #-B NUMBER
3 STREET ADDRESS IP 0. Box. RFO = sic.i		04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P 0. 656. RFO # 91c.)		04 SIC CODE
спу	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	OSOTY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE
. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite access	nc reterences.	e.g., State mez. zzmole enewzo	1. reconst		
EPA Files. Seattle, W Personal Communication	IA on with	Larry Dietr	ich 9/25/84 and 12/12/84		

9	EF	A
---	----	---

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 8 - OPERATOR INFORMATION

I. IDEN	IFICATION
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
WA i	102 SITE NUMBER WAD991281874

L			PANT 0 OF EN	TON INFORMATION			
II. CURRENT OPERATO	OR (Provide d'adlerent fra	m cemer)		OPERATOR'S PAREN	T COMPANY (17 2000CA	Ofel	
01 NAME			02 O+8 NUMBER	10 NAME			11 O+B NUMBER
Pasco Sanita	ry Landfill					1	
03 STREET AOORESS (P.O. 8			04 SIC CODE	12 STREET AOORESS (P.O. B	ox. RFO F. etc.)		13 SIC CODE
420 E. Ainsw	vorth						ľ
Pasco		WA	99301	14 CITY		5 STATE	16 ZIP CODE
08 YEARS OF OPERATION 1982-Present	Larry Diet	rich			<u> </u>		
III. PREVIOUS OPERAT	OR(S) Lat more recurs of	idal: privede oraș	e different from owners	PREVIOUS OPERATOR	RS' PARENT COMPA	NIES III	policable)
on NAME Resource Rec	covery Corp.	4	02 O+8 NUMBER	10 NAME			1 1 O+B NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. B	ox. RFO €, etC.1		04 SIC CODE	12 STREET AOORESS (P.O. B	ox. RFO F, etc.)		13 SIC CODE
5501 Airport	Way South		1				
OS CITY		06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	14 CITY	. 1	5 STATE	16 ZIP CODE
Seattle		WA	98108			ŀ	
08 YEARS OF OPERATION 1972-1981	John Kimb		President				
John Dietric	ch		02 O+B NUMBER	10 NAME		1	1 0 +6 NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Bo	L RFO F. elc.I	<u>_</u> l	04 SIC CODE	12 STREET AOORESS (P.O. Bo	z. RFO e. etc.)		13 SIC CODE
P.O. Box 650)		1				
05 CITY	·	06 STATE	07 ZIP COOE	14 CITY	1	5 STATE 1	8 ZIP CODE
Pasco		WA	99301				•
08 YEARS OF OPERATION 1956-1972	og name of owner Same	DURING THIS	PERIOD				
01 NAME	 		02 O+8 NUMBER	10 NAME		1	1 0+8 NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Bo	z. RFO F. etc.)		04 SIC CODE	12 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Bo	p. RFO ≠, etc.)		13 SIC CODE
05 CITY		06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	14 CITY	1	5 STATE 1	6 ZIP CODE
CB YEARS OF OPERATION	09 NAME OF OWNER	DURING THIS	PERIOD	,	<u></u>	,	
IV. SOURCES OF INFO	RMATION (CZe sciectist	references, e.	g., state tiles, semole analys	is. reports:			

EPA Files, Seattle, WA Personal Communication with Larry Dietrich 9/25/84 and 12/12/84

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT I. IDENTIFICATION O1 STATE O2 SITE NUMBER WA WAD99 128:				
	SITE INSPE	CTION REPORT	01 STATE 0	2 SITE NUMBER WAD991281874
PART	9 - GENERATOR/TR	RANSPORTER INFORMATION		
	Tesasasasas			
	02 D+8 NUMBER			,
	04 SIC CODE	7		·
06 STATE	07 ZIP COOE			
D	02 0+8 NUMBER	Wayanhagusan Campany		02 D+B NUMBER
Kon	 			To: 010 0005
		P.O. Box 188		04 SIC CODE
WA	98108	Longview	WA STATE	98632
	02 0+S NUMBER	Rhone Ponlenc Chemical	Co.	02 D+B NUMBER
	04 SIC COOE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P. O. BOX. FR.P. exc.) 6200 N.W. St. Helens Rd	•	04 SIC CODE
CA	94119	Portland	O6 STATE OR	97210
	02 D+B NUMBER	01 NAME		02 O+B NUMBER
50)	04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Bas. #FD#, etc.)		04 S/C CODE
06 STATE WA	99301	05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP COOE
	02 0 + B NUMBER	Resource Recovery Corp		02 D+8 NUMBER
) Box	04 SIC CODE 6088	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Bea. RFO *. etc.) 5501 Airport Way South		04 SIC COOE
QG STATE	99336	OS CITY Seattle	06 STATE	98108
ralarences. e	a o stata filos, samole analysis.	renorti		
ation / Larr	of Hazardous y Dietrich 9/	Waste Site (EPA Form 8900 /25/84)-1)	
	PART S OG STATE RON OG STATE CA OBOX GG STATE WA Telerences o	SITE INSPEPART 9 - GENERATOR/TE 02 D + B NUMBER 04 SIC CODE 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 08 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 04 SIC CODE 05 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 04 SIC CODE 04 SIC CODE 05 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 09 30 1 02 O + B NUMBER 04 SIC CODE 05 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 09 30 1 02 O + B NUMBER 04 SIC CODE 09 30 1 09 30 1 09 30 1 01 SIC CODE 09 30 1 02 O + B NUMBER 04 SIC CODE 05 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 09 30 1 09 30 1 01 SIC CODE 08 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 09 30 1 09 30 1 01 SIC CODE 08 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 09 30 1 09 30 1 01 SIC CODE 04 SIC CODE 05 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 07 ZIP CODE 08 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 09 30 1 09 30 1 01 SIC CODE 08 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 09 30 1 09 30 1 09 30 1 01 SIC CODE 08 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 09 30 1 09 30 1 09 30 1 01 SIC CODE 08 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 09 30 1 0	SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 9 - GENERATOR/TRANSPORTER INFORMATION 02 D+B NUMBER	SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 9 - GENERATOR/TRANSPORTER INFORMATION O2 D+8 NUMBER

©EPA	POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WAST SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 10 - PAST RESPONSE ACTIV	O1 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER
PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES		·······
01 A. WATER SUPPLY CLOSED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	O3 AGENCY
01 B. TEMPORARY WATER SUPPLY PRO 04 DESCRIPTION	ROVIDED 02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 C. PERMANENT WATER SUPPLY PR 04 DESCRIPTION	ROVIDED 02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 ① D. SPILLED MATERIAL REMOVED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 ☐ E. CONTAMINATED SOIL REMOVED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
- 01 M.S. WASTE DEDACKAGED	02 DATE 1973	03 AGENCY
01 以 F. WASTE REPACKAGED 04 DESCRIPTION Chloro-alkali		nlined lagoon to a lined lagoon.
01 G. WASTE DISPOSED ELSEWHERE 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 H. ON SITE BURIAL	02 DATE	DG AGENCY
04 DESCRIPTION		
01 ☐ I. IN SITU CHEMICAL TREATMENT 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 J. IN SITU BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 K. IN SITU PHYSICAL TREATMENT O4 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 ☐ L. ENCAPSULATION 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 G M. EMERGENCY WASTE TREATMENT 04 DESCRIPTION	T 02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 .2 N. CUTOFF WALLS 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 © 0. EMERGENCY DIKING SURFACE W 04 DESCRIPTION	VATER DIVERSION 02 DATE	OJ AGENCY
01 [] P CUTOFF TRENCHES SUMP 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY

02 DATE _____ C3 AGENCY ____

01 ☐ O. SUBSURFACE CUTOFF WALL 04 DESCRIPTION

OFDA	POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE	I. IDENTIFICATION
SEPA	SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 10 - PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES	WA WAD991281874
II PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES (Continued)		
01 () R. BARRIER WALLS CONSTRUCTED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 () S. CAPPING/COVERING 04 DESCRIPTION	02 OATE	03 AGENCY
01 T. BULK TANKAGE REPAIRED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 ☐ U. GROUT CURTAIN CONSTRUCTED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 🗆 V. BOTTOM SEALED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
		
01 □ W. GAS CONTROL 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 X. FIRE CONTROL 04 DESCRIPTION	02 OATE	03 AGENCY
01 ☐ Y. LEACHATE TREATMENT 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 🗆 Z. AREA EVACUATED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 ☐ 1. ACCESS TO SITE RESTRICTED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 🖸 2, POPULATION RELOCATED 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
01 🗆 3. OTHER REMEDIAL ACTIVITIES 04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	03 AGENCY
	•	
III. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cité specific ret.	erences, e.g., state tiles, sample analysis, reportst	
WDOE Site Files, Olympia		

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 11 - ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION					
01 STATE	%AD991281874				

IL ENF	ORCEM	ENT IN	FORM/	ATION
--------	-------	--------	-------	-------

01 PAST REGULATORY/ENFORCEMENT ACTION: ₹,YES □ NO

02 DESCRIPTION OF FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL REGULATORY/ENFORCEMENT ACTION

3-17-84 Recommendation of enforcement action-problems with sewage handling practices.

III. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cité apecinic references, e.g., state (res. sample analysis) reports)

WDOE Site Files, Olympia, WA

APPENDIX D

PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION

RESOURCE RECOVERY CORPORATION PASCO, WASHINGTON

SITE INSPECTION - 9/12/84

COMPILED BY ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT, INC. SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

PHOTO IDENTIFICATION SHEET

Type	of	Camera:	Nikon	FM	
------	----	---------	-------	----	--

TDO No.: 10-8408-22

Type of Film: Kodachrome

Resource Rocovery Corporation, Pasco WA

EPA No.:

Photo No.	Date	Time	Taken by	Description of Photo
1	9/12/84	0750	Rich Brooks	Entrance Gate taken in N-NW direction
2	11	0830	11 11	Waste Disposal Area A - taken in S direction
3	u	0835	H tı	Waste Disposal Area C&D - taken in E direction
4	11	0840	п н	Waste Disposal Area C&D - taken in E direction
5 .	11	0845	п - п	Waste Disposal Area C&D - taken in E direction
6 .	11	0850	It II	Dry Well - taken in SW direction
7	11	0855	н н	Waste Disposal Area E & Dry Well - taken in NE direction
8	11	0900		Waste Disposal Area E - taken in NW direction
9	11	0905	11 11	Sewage Lagoons - taken in E direction
10	п	0910	и п	Waste Dispósal Area B - taken in E direction
11	11	0915	11 11	Waste Disposal Area B & Sensor - taken in N direction
12	u	0920	11 11	Wide Angle of Whole Site - taken in S direction

PHOTO IDENTIFICATION SHEET

Type of Camera:_	Nikon FM		TDD No.: 10-8408-22
Type of Film:	Kodachrome	Resource Recovery Corporation, Pasco WA	EPA No.:

Photo No.	Date	Time	Taken by	Description of Photo
13	9/12/84	1031	Rich Brooks	
14	II	1031	II (I	Control Well - taken in S-SE direction
15	11	1353	u u	Well #3 - downgradient - taken in N direction
				·



HAZARDOUS SITE CONTROL DIVISION

Remedial Planning/ Field Investigation Team (REM/FIT) ZONE II

CONTRACT NO. 68-01-6692

CH2M##HILL Ecology & Environment